

SUBSCRIBE MENU ·



You have **3 free articles** left this month.



LOGIN

SUBSCRIBE

MENU

PHOTOGRAPH BY UNIVERSAL HISTORY ARCHIVE/UNIVERSAL IMAGES GROUP VIA GETTY IMAGES

SCIENCE CORONAVIRUS COVERAGE

What 'airborne coronavirus' means, and how to protect yourself

The COVID-19 pandemic has revived a decades-old debate about how respiratory diseases travel—which affects the safety practices experts recommend.

BY MAYA WEI-HAAS



PUBLISHED AUGUST 11, 2020 • 14 MIN READ

Read this sentence aloud: With every passing word, an expanding blast of spittle spews from your mouth—the more emphatic the speech, the greater the spray.

This mouth-made mist is the subject of a great debate about how the coronavirus hitches a ride from person to person. Virus-riddled globs can be inhaled, or even land in the eyes, potentially sparking infections in others. But for respiratory diseases like coronavirus, it's long been thought these droplets are so large they will fall rapidly to the ground, inspiring public health recommendations such as cleaning surfaces and keeping six foot of social distance.

You have 3 free articles left this month.



LOGIN

S U B S C R I B E

pathogen. Every sneeze, cough, spoken word, or even exhaled breath expels droplets in a <u>continuum of sizes</u>. The worry is whether the tiniest called aerosols—can harbor the SARS-CoV-2 virus and allow it to linger or float across a room, causing new infections.

In July, 239 scientists <u>published a commentary</u> in *Clinical Infectious Diseases* calling for the recognition of airborne transmission for COVID-19 based on a series of case reports and lab studies. Though debate remains over whether airborne coronavirus transmission happens outside of hospital settings, proponents say the public risks are too dire to wait for more research. They propose additions to health guidance, such as improving ventilation, and some are working on models to predict the hazards of this route of infection.

<u>One example</u> involves a pair of methods that have been used for decades to track pollutants in indoor spaces and the risk of infectious disease. The model can calculate risk for multiple scenarios—including a classroom, campus, subway, bus, choir, demonstration, and outdoors—allowing users to tweak a variety of variables, including the amount of mask wearing, group size, and activity. The results can provide a valuable comparison of relative risk, says Jose-Luis Jimenez, an atmospheric chemist at the University of Colorado Boulder who led the project. (Use this interactive model to measure the risk of airborne COVID-19 in your office, classroom, or bus ride.)

ADVERTISEMENT

You have 3 free articles left this month.



Renew

SUBSCRIBE

MENU

The risk of infection from SARS-CoV-2 aerosols if you experience a g scenario 20 times

An indoor gathering An energy-efficient office A classroom lecture Strenuous outdoor activ A subway ride A bus ride

In a well-fitted N95 mask...



...and with no masks.



You have **3 free articles** left this month.



LOGIN

SUBSCRIBE

In a low infection area...



...and in an infection hot spot.



You have 3 free articles left this month.



LOGIN Renew SUBSCRIBE MENU





Note: This scenario assumes a well-fitted N95 mask blocks 95 percent of airborne particles. A hot spot is defined as having an infection rate of 3 percent in the local population, and a low infection area has a 0.03 percent

You have 3 free articles left this month.



LOGIN

S U B S C R I B E

MENU

your risk increases the closer you get to an infected person, where the concentration of both aerosols and respiratory droplets will be higher. Potential risk from contaminated surfaces is also not included. All scenar assume constant values for room temperature, pressure, humidity, and h quickly particles settle out of the air onto surfaces due to gravity. The mo also assumes that no one in the local population is immune.

Source: Jose-Luis Jimenez, University of Colorado Boulder

But Jimenez and other proponents of aerosol transmission are still squaring off with the traditionalists who aren't ready to abandon the view that the most worrisome route of coronavirus spread is through large respiratory droplets at close proximity. That classic view argues that aerosol transmission appears to be the exception rather than the rule. In response to the *Clinical Infectious Diseases* commentary, the World Health Organization acknowledged that airborne routes cannot be ruled out for some indoor incidents—but transmission through heavier droplets or contaminated surfaces could also explain these cases.

ADVERTISEMENT

National Geographic dives into the body of evidence with an array of scientists to determine what this debate means for you, and how we all should protect ourselves.

You have 3 free articles left this month.



LOGIN

S U B S C R I B E

MENU

including the WHO—draw a firm line with this spittle based on size. Aerosols are smaller than five to 10 microns, they say, and anything larger is considered a rapidly falling droplet. (Here's what you need to know about public toilets and COVID-19.)

However, proponents of airborne transmission argue that this division is hazy. For instance, a liquid drop five microns across can actually <u>float for</u> <u>about half an hour</u>. "Nature doesn't create cutoff points," says <u>Lidia</u> <u>Morawska</u>, an aerosol physicist at the Queensland University of Technology in Australia and an author of the *Clinical Infectious Diseases* letter.

66

Even for the flu, which we've studied for decades, we still don't know how much is transmitted by aerosols or by touching contaminated objects.

An intense sneeze can launch a high-speed cloud of spit—with droplets of all sizes—<u>as far as 27 feet.</u> The distance traveled depends on humidity levels, wafting currents, temperature, and <u>static electricity</u>. Differences in

You have 3 free articles left this month.

SUBSCRIBE



For health-care workers, the simple division of airborne spread through aerosols versus transmission from larger droplets propelled through the air has had important implications for safety measures, says <u>Daniel</u> <u>Diekema</u>, the director of the infectious disease division of the University of Iowa Healthcare. "Airborne is reserved for a small list of organisms that we feel there's transmission risk for anyone who shares the same air, even over long distances or long periods of time," he says.

LOGIN

With these diseases, medical centers use extra precautions, such as placing patients in specially ventilated rooms and requiring health-care workers to wear N95 masks, which allow only 5 percent of inhaled aerosols through. That's opposed to surgical masks, which are the baseline used in many hospitals. Surgical masks can allow anywhere from one to 76 percent of tiny particulates to pass, according to a study of masks cleared for use by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (Cloth masks also have a wide range of effectiveness, as a recent study on a small group of people shows that some can filter out nearly as much as an N95, but bandanas and knitted fleece let most drops through.)

Common <u>medical procedures</u> used with COVID-19 patients, such as mechanical ventilation, are also known to create <u>higher concentrations</u> of hazardous aerosols than talking or coughing. But if coronavirus is abundantly aerosolized outside of hospital settings, it would mean that

You have 3 free articles left this month.

Subscribe Now

MENU



LOGIN

SUBSCRIBE

MENU

such as some schools and offices—would need to upgrade HVAC systems to increase <u>airflow</u> with the outdoors, install additional filtration, or purchase portable air purifiers.

66

The connotation to people is that, Well, if I simply walk by a person on the street who is exhaling, I'm going to get sick. That, I think, is both an inaccurate and unduly fearsome kind of message.

MICHAEL KLOMPAS, BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL

Another concern is that airborne contaminants hold a certain aura of fear for the general public. "If you just say ... this is an aerosol-transmitted virus, the connotation to people is that, Well, if I simply walk by a person on the street who is exhaling, I'm going to get sick," says <u>Michael Klompas</u>, a hospital epidemiologist at Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston. "That, I think, is both an inaccurate and unduly fearsome kind of message."

You have **3 free articles** left this month.



LOGIN

S U B S C R I B E

MENU

The cases for and against airborne coronavirus

One big question with airborne coronavirus is whether long periods of time in poorly ventilated rooms would allow aerosol levels to build. It's similar to adding food coloring to a fish tank versus the ocean, says <u>Angela</u> <u>Rasmussen</u>, a virologist at Columbia's Mailman School of Public Health. Just a few drops will disperse in both environments, but if you continue adding dye, only the fish tank will eventually shift its hue.

Hints of this type of transmission have occurred with SARS-CoV-2. In a restaurant in Guangzhou, China, people sitting at three neighboring tables fell ill with COVID-19. Video records suggest that none of these people had direct contact with each other, and reconstructions conclude that <u>a</u> wallbound air conditioner may have aided transmission through the air. At a Buddhist temple in China, 24 of 67 worshippers caught the coronavirus while traveling on <u>a</u> bus with a sick colleague—a far greater infection rate than those who traveled in other vehicles to the event. Only one passenger sitting near the bus windows or door was infected, hinting that ventilation offered some protection.

But in all of these case studies, aerosol transport did not happen over long distances, which bucks one of the classic conditions for airborne transmission. Chickenpox, for example, is thought to spread down long hallways or across windows of adjacent rooms. Measles can infect people for two hours after someone with the disease leaves a room.

So if coronavirus is airborne, why doesn't it behave like chickenpox or You have **3 free articles** left this month.



SUBSCRIBE

MENU



Measles is the champion. It's the Mohammad Ali of viruses

IOWA

"Measles is the champion. It's the Mohammad Ali of viruses," says <u>Jorge</u> <u>Salinas</u>, hospital epidemiologist at the University of Iowa's Carver College of Medicine.

The SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, on the other hand, may require inhaling a much higher dose to seed a new case. This may mean that coronavirus mist needs sufficient time to become more concentrated and therefore more hazardous—though a modeling study <u>published on July 27 reported</u> that few people with mild or moderate symptoms would produce a high enough amount of virus to pose a risk in poorly ventilated places if breathing normally. The risk increases with coughing.

The biggest unknown is what happens indoors in what might be dubbed "the middle distance." If the itty-bitty droplets build in the air, there might be risks beyond six feet, but infectious coronavirus doesn't appear to be flying down long hallways.

Pasmusson save the oridones presented in the relevant asso studies You have **3 free articles** left this month.



Renew SUBSCRIBE

MENU

"But at what point does the number of virus-containing particles in the air exceed that threshold that you need to hit to get infected?" Rasmussen asks. "That's really an open question."

That's also why none of these studies can completely rule out classic transmission through respiratory droplets. One of the most widelydiscussed examples of potential airborne coronavirus involves a <u>2.5-hour</u> <u>choir practice</u> in Skagit County in Washington State. Singing, public health officials concluded, may have led to aerosol transmission of the coronavirus. But choir members also reported breaking into smaller groups where they sat next to each other, and some attendees shared snacks during a short break.

"It's really tricky to try to determine this in the real world," Rasmussen says.

It's also unclear how long viable coronavirus virus lingers in the air. Laboratory experiments suggest <u>at least three hours</u>, but the WHO notes these aerosols were artificially generated and don't reflect natural human coughs. Infectious virus was successfully cultured from air samples collected up to 16 feet away from a patient with COVID-19 at the University of Florida Health Shands Hospital, <u>but the work has not yet</u> <u>undergone peer review</u>.

Untangling transmission has long posed a challenge for many diseases, says <u>Linsey Marr</u>, a civil and environmental engineer at Virginia Tech, who was part of the *Clinical Infectious Diseases* commentary. "Even for the flu,

You have **3 free articles** left this month.



Renew SUBSCRIBE

Here is what's clear: The coronavirus jumps between people in close proximity. Whether that happens because of aerosols, droplets, or some combination, most recommendations remain the same: Avoid crowds and face-to-face chats even while wearing a mask. Masks help <u>stanch the spray</u>, particularly for larger droplets, so people should still wear them, but the potential for aerosol transmission means more tactics may be needed for stuffy rooms.

Enter the calls for better ventilation. On July 29, the WHO released a series of general recommendations on ventilation for public places and health facilities, which also cites guidelines for schools and businesses developed by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers.

Altering ventilation systems can have high cost, both financially and <u>environmentally</u>, but some shifts are simple and inexpensive. For example, classrooms could vacate for a period between classes, with windows and doors open to bring down airborne viral levels. Marr has also translated the concerns to actions in her daily life, such as winding down the windows in a taxi.

You have 3 free articles left this month.



WHEN CITIES WERE CESSPOOLS OF DISEASE

Cities of the 19th century were breeding grounds for disease. Find out how poor living conditions played a role and how the discovery of the germ led to public health reforms still seen today.

The confusion surrounding the aerosol debate has underscored a need for better communication both between scientists in different fields and with the general public, says <u>Saskia Popescu</u>, an infection prevention epidemiologist at the University of Arizona's Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health. Instead of sparring over aerosols versus droplets, Popescu hopes scientists can <u>find ways to convey relative risks of certains</u> <u>activities</u>, and emphasize that greater precautions may be necessary in some situations, such as in indoor group gatherings.

"We've been using these terms for decades—perhaps we need a better communication approach," she says. "There's so much riding on it, and we all just want to do the right thing."



You have 3 free articles left this month.